

**MINUTES OF THE EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF SHERE PARISH COUNCIL  
ON THURSDAY, 2 JUNE 2016 AT  
PEASLAKE MEMORIAL HALL, WALKING BOTTOM, PEASLAKE GU5 9RR**

**Present:** Parish Councillors R Davey (Chairman), C Carlisle (Vice-Chairman), R Andrews, C Brooke, P Carter, A Collingwood, J Cross, A Golightly, B Harrap, J Hutton, G Reffo and R Smith; the Parish Clerk, J Millett, and approximately sixty-five members of the public.

<b>Ref:</b>	<b>Item:</b>
<b>16/46e</b>	<b>To accept apologies and reasons for absence in accordance with the Local Government Act 1972, Schedule 12, paragraph 40.</b> Apologies for absence were received from Parish Councillor B Grover, County Councillor Keith Taylor and D Roe and J Barham (non-PPG representatives on the Peaslake Farm Working Group).
<b>16/47e</b>	<b>Declaration of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPIs) by Councillors</b> on any of the agenda items below in accordance with The Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012. (SI 2012 No. 1464). <b>NONE</b> received.
<b>16/48e</b>	<b>Register of Interests – to declare any amendments.</b> (Members are reminded that any amendments must also be notified by Councillors in writing to the Monitoring Officer, Guildford Borough Council, Millmead, Guildford. GU2 4BB). <b>NONE</b> received.
<b>16/49e</b>	<b>Declaration of gifts or hospitality over £25.</b> Members are reminded that once a declaration of gifts or hospitality has been made then a new Form of Financial and Other Registerable Interests must be completed. <b>NONE</b> received.
<b>16/50e</b>	<p><b>Peaslake Farm</b> – to decide the future use of the Farm.</p> <p>A brief statement was invited from each of the two village representative groups on the Peaslake Farm Working Group, ie, from a representative of the Working Group’s Peaslake Protection Group (PPG) members and a representative of the non-PPG members. A PPG representative made a brief statement but there were no non-PPG representatives present. A representative of the Surrey Hills Community Land Trust also made a brief statement.</p> <p>Having already considered the work and reports of the Peaslake Farm Working Group, and giving due regard to the recent ‘Report on the Peaslake Farm Consultation Survey’, the Parish Councillors:</p> <p>a) stated their individual views and judgements as to which of the three detailed uses of Peaslake Farm they felt in general would be of greatest benefit to the Parish</p> <p>b) having heard and debated the opinions, they then made their final judgement on the matter and voted as follows on which of the three possible uses the Council should adopt and seek to implement.</p> <p>R Andrews moved that the proposed use No 3: ‘commercial business park &amp; agricultural use of the fields’ be rejected for adoption. This motion was seconded by C Brooke. On a show of hands, the motion was adopted. (<i>A Golightly abstained</i>).</p> <p>R Davey moved and A Collingwood seconded, that either use No. 1: ‘subsidised housing only &amp; agricultural use of the fields’ or use No. 2: ‘subsidised housing</p>

and small commercial barn(s) & agricultural use of the fields’ be adopted.

G Reffoe moved an amendment to the latter motion, seconded by P Carter, that:  
a) ‘by the time the building starts the water and sewage problems would be solved by Thames Water’ and b) ‘the fields to be let by competitive process for agricultural use. The Council to invest in the land to bring it up to a suitable standard (fencing, drainage) and the rents will be fixed at agricultural rates for the duration of the lease (25years).’

Following debate, amendment a) was voted upon by a show of hands. 8 voted in favour and 3 voted against the amendment and part a) of the amendment was therefore carried. (*R Andrews abstained from voting*).

Amendment b) was voted upon by a show of hands. 4 voted in favour of the amendment and 3 voted against it. Part b) of the amendment was therefore carried. (*C Brooke, A Collingwood, J Cross, B Harrap and J Hutton abstained from voting*).

C Brooke proposed that the words ‘Thames Water’ be deleted from part a) of the amended motion and this was **AGREED**. (*R Andrews abstained*).

The substantive motion was therefore, that:

- ‘either use No. 1: ‘subsidised housing only & agricultural use of the fields’ or use No. 2: ‘subsidised housing and small commercial barn(s) & agricultural use of the fields’ be adopted
- by the time the building starts the water and sewage problems would be solved
- the fields to be let by competitive process for agricultural use. The Council to invest in the land to bring it up to a suitable standard (fencing, drainage) and the rents will be fixed at agricultural rates for the duration of the lease (25years).’

The following recorded vote was taken on whether to select use No. 1 or use No. 2, subject to the agreed amendments:

<b>Parish Councillor</b>	<b>Use 1</b>	<b>Use 2</b>
C Brooke	Yes	
J Cross	Yes	
J Hutton	Yes	
A Collingwood	Yes	
R Andrews		Yes
C Carlisle		Yes
A Golightly	Yes	
P Carter	Yes	
B Harrap	Yes	
G Reffoe	Yes	
R Smith		Yes
R Davey		Yes

It was therefore **AGREED** that:

- use No. 1: 'subsidised housing only & agricultural use of the fields' be adopted
- by the time the building starts the water and sewage problems would be solved
- the fields to be let by competitive process for agricultural use. The Council to invest in the land to bring it up to a suitable standard (fencing, drainage) and the rents will be fixed at agricultural rates for the duration of the lease (25years).'